arXiv:1403.0477v1 [math.CA] 27 Feb 2014

# HIGHER ORDER DERIVATIVES OF APPROXIMATION POLYNOMIALS ON $\mathbb{R}$

HEE SUN JUNG[1] AND RYOZI SAKAI[2]

Abstract. D. Leviatan has investigated the behavior of the higher order derivatives of approximation polynomials of the differentiable function $f$ on $[-1,1]$. Especially, when $P_n$ is the best approximation of $f$, he estimates the differences $\|f^{(k)} - P_n^{(k)}\|_{L_\infty([-1,1])}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, ....$ In this paper, we give the analogies for them with respect to the differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$, and we apply the result to the monotone approximation.

MSC: 41A10, 41A50
Keywords; polynomial of the best approximation, exponential-type weight, monotone approximation

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$. We say that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is quasi-increasing if there exists $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \leqslant Cf(y)$ for $0 < x < y$. The notation $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means that there are positive constants $C_1, C_2$ such that for the relevant range of $x$, $C_1 \leqslant f(x)/g(x) \leqslant C_2$. The similar notation is used for sequences and sequences of functions. Throughout $C, C_1, C_2, ...$ denote positive constants independent of $n, x, t$. The same symbol does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences. We denote the class of polynomials with degree $n$ by $\mathcal{P}_n$.

First, we introduce some classes of weights. Levin and Lubinsky [8] introduced the class of weights on $\mathbb{R}$ as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let $Q : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ be a continuous even function, and satisfy the following properties:*
(a) *$Q'(x)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}$, with $Q(0) = 0$.*
(b) *$Q''(x)$ exists and is positive in $\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$.*
(c) *$\lim_{x\to\infty} Q(x) = \infty$.*
(d) *The function*

$$T_w(x) := \frac{xQ'(x)}{Q(x)}, x \neq 0$$

*is quasi-increasing in $(0, \infty)$, with*

$$T_w(x) \geq \Lambda > 1, x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}.$$

(e) *There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \leq C_1 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \ \ a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Date*: March 4, 2014.

*Furthermore, if there also exists a compact subinterval* $J(\ni 0)$ *of* $\mathbb{R}$*, and* $C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \geq C_2 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \ \ a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash J,$$

*then we write* $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.

For convenience, we denote $T$ instead of $T_w$, if there is no confusion. Next, we give some typical examples of $\mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.

**Example 1.2** ([5])**.** (1) If $T(x)$ is bounded, then we call the weight $w = \exp(-Q(x))$ the Freud-type weight and we write $w \in \mathcal{F}^* \subset \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.
(2) When $T(x)$ is unbounded, then we call the weight $w = \exp(-Q(x))$ the Erdös-type weight: (a) For $\alpha > 1$, $l \geq 1$ we define

$$Q(x) := Q_{l,\alpha}(x) = \exp_l(|x|^\alpha) - \exp_l(0),$$

where $\exp_l(x) = \exp(\exp(\exp \ldots \exp x) \ldots)(l \text{ times})$. More generally, we define

$$Q_{l,\alpha,m}(x) = |x|^m \{\exp_l(|x|^\alpha) - \tilde{\alpha} \exp_l(0)\}, \quad \alpha + m > 1, \ m \geq 0, \ \alpha \geq 0,$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha = 0$, and otherwise $\tilde{\alpha} = 1$. We note that $Q_{l,0,m}$ gives a Freud-type weight, and $Q_{l,\alpha,m}$, $(\alpha > 0)$ gives an Erdös-type weight.
(3) For $\alpha > 1$, $Q_\alpha(x) = (1 + |x|)^{|x|^\alpha} - 1$ gives also an Erdös-type weight.

For a continuous function $f : [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$, let

$$E_n(f) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|f - P\|_{L_\infty([-1,1])} = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \max_{x \in [-1,1]} |f(x) - P(x)|.$$

D. Leviatan [7] has investigated the behavior of the higher order derivatives of approximation polynomials for the differentiable function $f$ on $[-1, 1]$, as follows:
**Theorem** (Leviatan [7]). *For* $r \geq 0$ *we let* $f \in C^{(r)}[-1, 1]$*, and let* $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ *denote the polynomial of best approximation of* $f$ *on* $[-1, 1]$*. Then for each* $0 \leq k \leq r$ *and every* $-1 \leq x \leq 1$*,*

$$\left| f^{(k)}(x) - P_n^{(k)}(x) \right| \leq \frac{C_r}{n^k} \Delta_n^{-k}(x) E_{n-k}\left(f^{(k)}\right), \quad n \geq k,$$

*where* $\Delta_n(x) := \sqrt{1 - x^2}/n + 1/n^2$ *and* $C_r$ *is an absolute constant which depends only on* $r$*.*

In this paper, we estimate $\left| \left( f^{(k)}(x) - P_{n;f}^{(k)}(x) \right) w(x) \right|$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $k = 0, 1, ..., r$ for $f \in C^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$ and for some exponential type weight $w$ in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-space, $1 < p \leq \infty$, where $P_{n;f} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is the best approximation of $f$. Furthermore, we give an application for a monotone approximation with linear differential operators. In Section 2 we write the theorems in the space $L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then we also denote a certain assumption and some notations which need to state the theorems. In Section 3 we give some lemmas and the proofs of theorems. In Section 4, we consider the similar problem in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-space, $1 < p < \infty$. In Section 5, we give a simple application of the result to the monotone approximation.

## 2. Theorems and Preliminaries

First, we introduce some well-known notations. If $f$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$, then we define

$$\|fw\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t)w(t)|,$$

and for $1 \le p < \infty$ we denote

$$\|fw\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)w(t)|^p \, dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. If $\|wf\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$, then we write $wf \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, and here if $p = \infty$, we suppose that $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |w(x)f(x)| = 0$. We denote the rate of approximation of $f$ by

$$E_{p,n}(w; f) := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|(f - P)w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

The Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers $a_x$ is defined as follows:

$$x = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{a_x u Q'(a_x u)}{\sqrt{1-u^2}} du, \quad x > 0.$$

To write our theorems we need some preliminaries. We need further assumptions.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ and $0 < \lambda < (r+2)/(r+1)$. Let $r \ge 1$ be an integer. Then we write $w \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+2}+)$ if $Q \in C^{(r+2)}(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\})$ and there exist two constants $C > 1$ and $K \ge 1$ such that for all $|x| \ge K$,*

$$\frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q^\lambda(x)} \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)} \right| \sim \left| \frac{Q^{(k+1)}(x)}{Q^{(k)}(x)} \right|$$

*for every $k = 2, ..., r$ and also*

$$\left| \frac{Q^{(r+2)}(x)}{Q^{(r+1)}(x)} \right| \le C \left| \frac{Q^{(r+1)}(x)}{Q^{(r)}(x)} \right|.$$

*In particular, $w \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^3+)$ means that $Q \in C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\})$ and*

$$\frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q^\lambda(x)} \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{Q'''(x)}{Q''(x)} \right| \le C \left| \frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)} \right|$$

*hold for $|x| \ge K$. In addition, let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^2+) := \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.*

From [5], we know that Example 1.2 (2),(3) satisfy all conditions of Definition 2.1. Under the same condition of Definition 2.1 we obtain an interesting theorem as follows:

**Theorem 2.2** ([10, Theorem 4.1, 4.2])**.** *Let $r$ be a positive integer, $0 < \lambda < (r+2)/(r+1)$ and let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+2}+)$. Then for any $\mu$, $\nu$, $\alpha$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we can construct a new weight $w_{\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+1}+)$ such that*

$$T_w^\mu(x)(1+x^2)^\nu(1+Q(x))^\alpha(1+|Q'(x)|)^\beta w(x) \sim w_{\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta}(x)$$

*on $\mathbb{R}$, and*

$$a_{n/c}(w) \le a_n(w_{\mu.\nu,\alpha,\beta}) \le a_{cn}(w), \quad c \ge 1,$$
$$T_{w_{\mu,\nu,\alpha,\beta}}(x) \sim T_w(x)$$

*hold on $\mathbb{R}$.*

For a given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$, we let $w_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ satisfy $w_\alpha(x) \sim T_w^\alpha(x)w(x)$, and let $P_{n;f,w_\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be the best approximation of $f$ with respect to the weight $w_\alpha$, that is,

$$\|(f - P_{n;f,w_\alpha})w_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} = E_n(w_\alpha, f) := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|(f-P)w_\alpha\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Then we have the main result as follows:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+2}+)$ and $0 < \lambda < (r+2)/(r+1)$. Suppose that $f \in C^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} T^{1/4}(x) f^{(r)}(x) w(x) = 0.$$

*Then there exists an absolute constant $C_r > 0$ which depends only on $r$ such that for $0 \leq k \leq r$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left( f^{(k)}(x) - P^{(k)}_{n;f,w}(x) \right) w(x) \right| &\leq C_r T^{k/2}(x) E_{n-k}\left( w_{1/4}, f^{(k)} \right) \\ &\leq C_r T^{k/2}(x) \left( \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{r-k} E_{n-r}\left( w_{1/4}, f^{(r)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we can replace $w_{1/4}$ with $w$ in the above.*

Applying Theorem 2.3 with $w$ or $w_{-1/4}$, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.4.** (1) *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+2}+), 0 < \lambda < (r+2)/(r+1), r \geq 0$. We suppose that $f \in C^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} T^{1/4}(x) f^{(r)}(x) w(x) = 0,$$

*then for $0 \leq k \leq r$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{n;f,w} \right) w_{-k/2} \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_r E_{n-k}\left( w_{1/4}, f^{(k)} \right) \\ &\leq C_r \left( \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{r-k} E_{n-r}\left( w_{1/4}, f^{(r)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+3}+), 0 < \lambda < (r+3)/(r+2), r \geq 0$. We suppose that $f \in C^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} f^{(r)}(x) w(x) = 0,$$

*then for $0 \leq k \leq r$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{n;f,w_{-1/4}} \right) w_{-(2k+1)/4} \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_r E_{n-k}\left( w, f^{(k)} \right) \\ &\leq C_r \left( \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{r-k} E_{n-r}\left( w, f^{(r)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we can replace $w_\alpha$ with $w$ in the above.*

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+3}+)$, $0 < \lambda < (r+3)/(r+2)$, and let $w_{(2r+1)/4} f^{(r)} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for each $k (0 \leq k \leq r)$ and the best approximation polynomial $P_{n;f,w_{k/2}}$;*

$$\left\| \left( f - P_{n;f,w_{k/2}} \right) w_{k/2} \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} = E_n\left( w_{k/2}, f \right),$$

*we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{n;f,w_{k/2}} \right) w \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_r E_{n-k}\left( w_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(k)} \right) \\ &\leq C_r \left( \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{r-k} E_{n-r}\left( w_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(r)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we can replace $w_{1/4}$ with $w$ in the above.*

## 3. Proof of Theorems

Throughout this section we suppose $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. We give the proofs of theorems. First, we give some lemmas to prove the theorems. We construct the orthonormal polynomials $p_n(x) = p_n(w^2, x)$ of degree n for $w^2(x)$, that is,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(w^2,x)p_m(w^2,x)w^2(x)dx = \delta_{mn}(\text{Kronecker delta}).$$

Let $fw \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. The Fourier-type series of $f$ is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(w^2,f)p_k(w^2,x), \quad a_k(w^2,f) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)p_k(w^2,t)w^2(t)dt.$$

We denote the partial sum of $\tilde{f}(x)$ by

$$s_n(f,x) := s_n(w^2,f,x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(w^2,f)p_k(w^2,x).$$

Moreover, we define the de la Vallée Poussin means by

$$v_n(f,x) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} s_j(w^2,f,x).$$

**Proposition 3.1** ([11, Theorem 1.1 (1,5), Corollary 6.2 (6.5)]). *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^3+), 0 < \lambda < 3/2$, and let $1 \le p \le \infty$. When $T^{1/4}wf \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\|v_n(f)w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le C\left\|T^{1/4}wf\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})},$$

*and so*

$$\|(f-v_n(f))w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le CE_{p,n}\left(T^{1/4}w, f\right).$$

*So, equivalently,*

$$\|v_n(f)w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le C\left\|w_{1/4}f\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})},$$

*and so*

$$\|(f-v_n(f))w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le CE_{p,n}\left(w_{1/4}, f\right). \tag{3.1}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we can replace $w_{1/4}$ with $w$.*

**Lemma 3.2.** (1) ([8, Lemma 3.5, (a)]) *Let $L > 0$ be fixed. Then, uniformly for $t > 0$,*

$$a_{Lt} \sim a_t.$$

(2) ([8, Lemma 3.4 (3.17)]) *For $x > 1$, we have*

$$|Q'(a_x)| \sim \frac{x\sqrt{T(a_x)}}{a_x} \quad \text{and} \quad |Q(a_x)| \sim \frac{x}{\sqrt{T(a_x)}}.$$

(3) ([8, Lemma 3.2 (3.8)]) *Let $x \in (0,\infty)$. There exists $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that*

$$T\left(x\left[1+\frac{\varepsilon}{T(x)}\right]\right) \sim T(x).$$

(4) ([9, Proposition 3]) *If $T(x)$ is unbounded, then for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $C(\eta) > 0$ such that for $t \ge 1$,*

$$a_t \le C(\eta)t^\eta.$$

To prove the results, we need the following notations. We set

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\left\{a_u : \frac{a_u}{u} \le t\right\}, \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$\Phi_t(x) := \sqrt{\left|1 - \frac{|x|}{\sigma(t)}\right|} + T^{-1/2}(\sigma(t)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define for $fw \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, $0 < p \le \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_p(f,w,t) \quad := \quad & \sup_{0<h\le t}\left\|w(x)\left\{f\left(x+\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) - f\left(x-\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)\right\}\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le\sigma(2t))} \\ & + \inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\|w(x)(f-c)(x)\|_{L_p(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))}\end{aligned}$$

(see [2, 3]).

**Proposition 3.3** (cf.[3, Theorem 1.2], [2, Corollary 1.4])**.** *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Let $0 < p \le \infty$. Then for $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which $fw \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ (and for $p = \infty$, we require $f$ to be continuous, and $fw$ to vanish at $\pm\infty$), we have for $n \ge C_3$,*

$$E_{p,n}(f,w) \le C_1\omega_p\left(f, w, C_2\frac{a_n}{n}\right),$$

*where $C_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, do not depend on $f$ and $n$.*

*Proof.* Damelin and Lubinsky [3] or Damelin [2] have treated a certain class $\mathcal{E}_1$ of weights containing the conditions (a)-(d) in Definition 1.1 and

$$\frac{yQ'(y)}{xQ'(x)} \le C_1\left(\frac{Q(y)}{Q(x)}\right)^{C_2}, \quad y \ge x \ge C_3, \tag{3.2}$$

where $C_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3 > 0$ are some constants, and they obtain this Proposition for $w \in \mathcal{E}_1$. Therefore, we may show $\mathcal{F}(C^2+) \subset \mathcal{E}_1$. In fact, from Definition 1.1 (d) and (e), we have for $y \ge x > 0$,

$$\frac{Q'(y)}{Q'(x)} = \exp\left(\int_x^y \frac{Q''(t)}{Q'(t)}dt\right) \le \exp\left(C_3\int_x^y \frac{Q'(t)}{Q(t)}dt\right) = \left(\frac{Q(y)}{Q(x)}\right)^{C_3},$$

and

$$\frac{y}{x} = \exp\left(\int_x^y \frac{1}{t}dt\right) \le \exp\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda}\int_x^y \frac{Q'(t)}{Q(t)}dt\right) = \left(\frac{Q(y)}{Q(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\Lambda}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain (3.2) with $C_2 = C_3 + \frac{1}{\Lambda}$, that is, we see $\mathcal{F}(C^2+) \subset \mathcal{E}_1$. □

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. (1) If $f$ is a function having bounded variation on any compact interval and if*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x)|df(x)| < \infty,$$

*then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $t > 0$,*

$$\omega_1(f,w,t) \le Ct\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x)|df(x)|,$$

*and so*

$$E_{1,n}(f,w) \le C\frac{a_n}{n}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x)|df(x)|.$$

(2) *Let us suppose that $f$ is continuous and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty}|(\sqrt{T}wf)(x)|=0$, then we have*

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\omega_\infty(f,w,t)=0.$$

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5** ([9, Lemma 7])**.** (1) *For $t>0$ there exists $a_u$ such that*

$$t=\frac{a_u}{u}\quad \textit{and}\quad \sigma(t)=a_u.$$

(2) *If $t=a_u/u$, $u>0$ large enough and*

$$|x-y|\le t\Phi_t(x),$$

*then there exist $C_1,C_2>0$ such that*

$$C_1w(x)\le w(y)\le C_2w(x).$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* (1) Let $g(x):=f(x)-f(0)$. For $t>0$ small enough let $0<h\le t$ and $|x|\le\sigma(2t)<\sigma(t)$. Hence we may consider $\Phi_t(x)\le 2$. Then by Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}w(x)\left|g\left(x+\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)-g\left(x-\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)\right|dx\\
&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}w(x)\left|\int_{x-\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)}^{x+\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)}df(v)\right|dx\le C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\int_{x-\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)}^{x+\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)}w(v)df(v)\right|dx\\
&\le\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{x-h}^{x+h}w(v)|df(v)|dx\le\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}w(v)\int_{v-h\le x\le v+h}dx|df(v)|\\
&\le 2h\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}w(v)|df(v)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}w(x)\left|g\left(x+\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)-g\left(x-\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)\right|dx\le 2t\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}w(x)|df(x)|. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, we see

$$\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\|w(x)(f-c)(x)\|_{L_1(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))}\le\frac{1}{Q'(\sigma(4t))}\left\|Q'(x)w(x)g(x)\right\|_{L_1(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here we see

$$\frac{\sqrt{T(\sigma(t))}}{Q'(\sigma(t))}\sim t. \tag{3.5}$$

In fact, from Lemma 3.2 (2), for $t=\frac{a_u}{u}$

$$Q'(\sigma(t))=Q'(a_u)\sim\frac{u\sqrt{T(a_u)}}{a_u}\sim\frac{\sqrt{T(\sigma(t))}}{t}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty Q'(x)w(x)|g(x)|dx &= \int_0^\infty Q'(x)w(x)\left|\int_0^x dg(u)\right|dx\\ &\le \int_0^\infty Q'(x)w(x)\int_0^x |df(u)|dx\\ &= -w(x)\int_0^x |df(u)|\Big|_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty w(x)|df(x)|\\ &= \int_0^\infty w(x)|df(x)|\end{aligned}$$

because $\lim_{x\to\infty} w(x) = 0$ from (c) of Definition 1.1. Similarly we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 |Q'(x)w(x)g(x)|\,dx \le \int_{-\infty}^0 w(x)|df(x)|.$$

Hence we have

$$\|Q'wg\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} \le \int_{-\infty}^\infty w(u)|df(u)|. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \|w(x)(f-c)(x)\|_{L_1(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))} = O(t)\int_{-\infty}^\infty w(x)|df(x)|. \tag{3.7}$$

Consequently, by (3.3) and (3.7) we have

$$\omega_1(f,w,t) \le Ct\int_{-\infty}^\infty w(x)|df(x)|.$$

Hence, setting $t = C_2\frac{a_n}{n}$, if we use Proposition 3.3, then

$$E_{1,n}(f,w) \le C\frac{a_n}{n}\int_{-\infty}^\infty w(x)|df(x)|.$$

(2) Given $\varepsilon > 0$, and let us take $L = L(\varepsilon) > 0$ large enough as

$$\sup_{|x|\ge L} |w(x)f(x)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(L)}}\sup_{|x|\ge L} |\sqrt{T(x)}w(x)f(x)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{(by our assumption)}.$$

Then we have

$$\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\sup_{|x|\ge L} |w(x)(f-c)(x)| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(L)}}\sup_{|x|\ge L}\left|\sqrt{T(x)}w(x)f(x)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Now, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x) \le \varepsilon\frac{1}{T(x)}, \quad |x| \le \sigma(2t),$$

because if we put $t = a_u/u$, then we see $\sigma(t) = a_u$ and $|x| \le \sigma(2t) < a_u$. Hence, noting [8, Lemma 3.7], that is, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and for large enough $t$,

$$T(a_t) \le Ct^{2-\varepsilon},$$

and if $w$ is the Erdös-type weight, then from Lemma 3.2 (4), we have

$$t\Phi_t(x) \le \frac{a_u}{u} \le \varepsilon\frac{1}{T(a_u)} \le \varepsilon\frac{1}{T(x)}. \tag{3.8}$$

If $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we also have (3.8), because for some $\delta > 0$ and $u > 0$ large enough,

$$t\Phi_t(x) \le \frac{a_u}{u} \le u^{-\delta} \le \varepsilon \frac{1}{T(x)}.$$

Therefore, using Lemma 3.2 (3), Lemma 3.5 and the assumption

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \sqrt{T(x)} w(x) f(x) = 0,$$

for $2L \le |x| \le \sigma(2t)$, $h > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| w(x) \left\{ f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) - f\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) \right\} \right| \\ \le\ & C\left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(x)}} \left| \sqrt{T\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)} w\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) \right| \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T(x)}} \left| \sqrt{T\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)} w\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) f\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) \right| \right] \\ \le\ & 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{0<h\le t} \left\| w(x) \left\{ f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) - f\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) \right\} \right\|_{L_\infty(|x|\le 2L)} = 0.$$

Therefore, we have the result. □

**Lemma 3.6** (cf.[4, Lemma 4.4])**.** *Let $g$ be a real valued function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\|gw\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$ and*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} gPw^2 dt = 0 \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_n. \tag{3.9}$$

*Then we have*

$$\left\| w(x) \int_0^x g(t)dt \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le C\frac{a_n}{n} \|gw\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{3.10}$$

*Especially, if $w \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^3+), 0 < \lambda < 3/2$, then we have*

$$\left\| w(x) \int_0^x \left(f'(t) - v_n(f')(t)\right) dt \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le C\frac{a_n}{n} E_n\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right). \tag{3.11}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we also have (3.11) replacing $w_{1/4}$ with $w$.*

*Proof.* We let

$$\phi_x(t) = \begin{cases} w^{-2}(t), & 0 \le t \le x; \\ 0, & otherwise, \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

then we have for arbitrary $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$,

$$\left| \int_0^x g(t)dt \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)\phi_x(t)w^2(t)dt \right| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)(\phi_x(t) - P_n(t))w^2(t)dt \right|. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^x g(t)dt \right| &\le \|gw\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \inf_{P_n\in\mathcal{P}_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi_x(t) - P_n(t)|\, w(t)dt \\ &= \|gw\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} E_{1,n}(w : \phi_x). \end{aligned}$$

Here, from Theorem 3.4 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,n}(w:\phi_x) &\leq C\frac{a_n}{n}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t)|d\phi_x(t)| \leq C\frac{a_n}{n}\int_0^x w(t)|Q'(t)|w^{-2}(t)dt \\ &= C\frac{a_n}{n}\int_0^x Q'(t)w^{-1}(t)dt \leq C\frac{a_n}{n}w^{-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\left|w(x)\int_0^x g(t)dt\right| \leq \|gw\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}w(x)E_{1,n}\left(w:\phi_x\right) \leq C\frac{a_n}{n}\|gw\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Therefore, we have (3.10). Next we show (3.11). Since

$$v_n(f')(t) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} s_j(f',t),$$

and for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $j \geq n+1$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(f'(t) - s_j(f';t)\right)P(t)w^2(t)dt = 0,$$

we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(f'(t) - v_n(f')(t)\right)P(t)w^2(t)dt = 0.$$

Using (3.10) and (3.1), we have (3.11). □

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^3+)$, $0 < \lambda < 3/2$. Let $\left\|w_{1/4}f'\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$, and let $q_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be the best approximation of $f'$ with respect to the weight $w$, that is,*

$$\left\|(f'-q_{n-1})w\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} = E_{n-1}(w,f').$$

*Now we set*

$$F(x) := f(x) - \int_0^x q_{n-1}(t)dt,$$

*then there exists $S_{2n} \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ such that*

$$\left\|w\left(F - S_{2n}\right)\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\frac{a_n}{n}E_n\left(w_{1/4},f'\right),$$

*and*

$$\left\|wS'_{2n}\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq CE_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4},f'\right).$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we also have same results replacing $w_{1/4}$ with $w$.*

*Proof.* Let

$$S_{2n}(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x v_n\left(f' - q_{n-1}\right)(t)dt, \tag{3.14}$$

then by Lemma 3.6 (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|w\left(F - S_{2n}\right)\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ =\ &\left\|w\left(f - \int_0^x q_{n-1}(t)dt - f(0) - \int_0^x v_n\left(f'-q_{n-1}\right)(t)dt\right)\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ =\ &\left\|w\left(\int_0^x\left[f'(t) - v_n(f')(t)\right]dt\right)\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\frac{a_n}{n}E_n\left(w_{1/4},f'\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now by Proposition 3.1 (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|wS_{2n}'\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} &= \left\|w\left(v_n(f'-q_{n-1})\right)\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}\\ &\le \left\|\left(f'-v_n\left(f'\right)\right)w\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}+\left\|\left(f'-q_{n-1}\right)w\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}\\ &\le E_n\left(w_{1/4},f'\right)+E_{n-1}\left(w,f'\right)\le E_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4},f'\right).\end{aligned}$$

□

To prove Theorem 2.3 we need the following theorems.

**Theorem 3.8** ([9, Corollary 3.4]). *Let $w\in\mathcal{F}(C^2+)$, and let $r\ge 0$ be an integer. Let $1\le p\le\infty$, and let $wf^{(r)}\in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have*

$$E_{p,n}(f,w)\le C\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^k\left\|f^{(k)}w\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})},\quad k=1,2,...,r,$$

*and equivalently,*

$$E_{p,n}(f,w)\le C\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^k E_{p,n-k}\left(f^{(k)},w\right).$$

**Theorem 3.9** ([10, Corollary 6.2]). *Let $r\ge 1$ be an integer and $w\in\mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+2}+)$, $0<\lambda<(r+2)/(r+1)$, and let $1\le p\le\infty$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $1\le k\le r$, any integer $n\ge 1$ and any polynomial $P\in\mathcal{P}_n$,*

$$\left\|P^{(k)}w\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}\le C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^k\left\|T^{k/2}Pw\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* We prove the theorem only in case of unbounded $T(x)$, in the case of Freud case $\mathcal{F}^*$ we can prove it similarly. We show that for $k=0,1,...,r$,

$$\left|\left(f^{(k)}(x)-P^{(k)}_{n;f,w}\right)w(x)\right|\le CT^{k/2}(x)E_{n-k}\left(w_{1/4},f^{(k)}\right). \tag{3.15}$$

If $r=0$, then (3.15) is trivial. For some $r\ge 0$ we suppose that (3.15) holds, and let $f\in C^{(r+1)}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f'\in C^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $q_{n-1}\in\mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ be the polynomial of best approximation of $f'$ with respect to the weight $w$. Then, from our assumption we have for $0\le k\le r$,

$$\left|\left(f^{(k+1)}(x)-q^{(k)}_{n-1}(x)\right)w(x)\right|\le CT^{k/2}(x)E_{n-k}\left(w_{1/4},f^{(k+1)}\right),$$

that is, for $1\le k\le r+1$

$$\left|\left(f^{(k)}(x)-q^{(k-1)}_{n-1}(x)\right)w(x)\right|\le CT^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(x)E_{n-k+1}\left(w_{1/4},f^{(k)}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

Let

$$F(x):=f(x)-\int_0^x q_{n-1}(t)dt=f(x)-Q_n(x), \tag{3.17}$$

then

$$|F'(x)w(x)|\le CE_{n-1}\left(w,f'\right).$$

As (3.14) we set $S_{2n}=\int_0^x(v_n(f')(t)-q_{n-1}(t))dt+f(0)$, then from Lemma 3.7

$$\left\|\left(F-S_{2n}\right)w\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}\le C\frac{a_n}{n}E_n\left(w_{1/4},f'\right), \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\left\|S_{2n}'w\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}\le CE_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4},f'\right).$$

Here we apply Theorem 3.9 with the weight $w_{-(k-1)/2}$. In fact, by Theorem 2.2 we have $w_{-(k-1)/2} \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+2}+)$. Then, noting $a_{2n} \sim a_n$ from Lemma 3.2 (1), we see

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{2n}^{(k)}(x)w_{-(k-1)/2}(x))| &\leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k-1} \|S_{2n}'w\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k-1} E_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\left|S_{2n}^{(k)}(x)w(x)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{n\sqrt{T(x)}}{a_n}\right)^{k-1} E_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r+1. \tag{3.19}$$

Let $R_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ denote the polynomial of best approximation of $F$ with $w$. By Theorem 3.9 with $w_{-\frac{k}{2}}$ again, for $0 \leq k \leq r+1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|(R_n^{(k)} - S_{2n}^{(k)}(x))w_{-\frac{k}{2}}(x)\right| &\leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k} \|(R_n - S_{2n})w_{-\frac{k}{2}}(x)T^{k/2}(x)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k} \|(R_n - S_{2n})w\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

and by (3.18)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(R_n - S_{2n})w\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C\left[\|(F-R_n)w\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|(F-S_{2n})w\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right] \\ &\leq C\left[E_n(w,F) + \frac{a_n}{n}E_n\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right)\right] \\ &\leq C\left[\frac{a_n}{n}E_{n-1}(w,f') + \frac{a_n}{n}E_{n-1}(w_{1/4}, f')\right] \\ &\leq C\frac{a_n}{n}E_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Hence, from (3.20) and (3.21) we have for $0 \leq k \leq r+1$

$$\begin{aligned} |(R_n^{(k)} - S_{2n}^{(k)}(x))w(x)| &\leq C\left|T^{k/2}(x)\right|\left|(R_n^{(k)} - S_{2n}^{(k)}(x))w_{-\frac{k}{2}}(x)\right| \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{n\sqrt{T(x)}}{a_n}\right)^{k}\frac{a_n}{n}E_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Therefore by (3.19), (3.22) and Theorem 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n^{(k)}(x)w(x))| &\leq CT^{k/2}(x)\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k-1} E_{n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right) \\ &\leq CT^{k/2}(x)E_{n-k}\left(w_{1/4}, f^{(k)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Since $E_n(F,w) = E_n(w,f)$ and

$$E_n\left(F,w\right) = \|w\left(F-R_n\right)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} = \|w\left(f-Q_n-R_n\right)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \tag{3.24}$$

(see (3.17)), we know that $P_{n;f,w} := Q_n + R_n$ is the polynomial of best approximation of $f$ with $w$. Now, from (3.16), (3.17) and (3.23) we have for $1 \le k \le r+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(f^{(k)}(x) - P^{(k)}_{n;f.w}(x)\right) w(x)\right| &= \left|\left(f^{(k)}(x) - Q^{(k)}_n(x) - R^{(k)}_n(x)\right) w(x)\right| \\ &\le \left|(f^{(k)}(x) - q^{(k-1)}_{n-1}(x))w(x)\right| + \left|R^{(k)}_n(x)w(x)\right| \\ &\le CT^{k/2}(x)E_{n-k}\left(w_{1/4}, f^{(k)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

For $k = 0$ it is trivial. Consequently, we have (3.15) for all $r \ge 0$. Moreover, using Theorem 3.8, we conclude Theorem 2.3. □

*Proof of Corollary 2.4.* It follows from Theroem 2.3. □

*Proof of Corollary 2.5.* Applying Theorem 2.3 with $w_{k/2}$, we have for $0 \le j \le r$

$$\left\|(f^{(j)} - P^{(j)}_{n;f,w_{k/2}})w\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le CE_{n-k}\left(w_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(j)}\right).$$

Especially, when $j = k$, we obtain

$$\left\|\left(f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{n;f,w_{k/2}}\right) w\right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le CE_{p,n-k}\left(w_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(k)}\right).$$

□

## 4. Theorems in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ $(1 \le p \le \infty)$

In this section we will give an analogy of Theorem 2.3 in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-space $(1 \le p \le \infty)$ and we will prove it using the same method as the proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^3+)$, $0 < \lambda < 3/2$, and let $\beta > 1$ be fixed. Then we set $w^\sharp$ and $w^\flat$ as follows;

$$\frac{w(x)}{\left\{(1+|Q'(x)|)(1+|x|)^\beta\right\}^{1/p}} \sim w^\sharp(x) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+);$$
$$w(x)\left\{(1+|Q'(x)|)(1+|x|)^\beta\right\}^{1/p} \sim w^\flat(x) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$$

(see Theorem 2.2).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let $r \ge 0$ be an integer. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+2}+)$, $0 < \lambda < (r+2)/(r+1)$, and let $\beta > 1$ be fixed. Suppose that $T^{1/4}f^{(r)}w \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. Let $P_{p,n;f,w} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be the best approximation of $f$ with respect to the weight $w$ in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-space, that is,*

$$E_{p,n}(w,f) := \inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}_n} \|(f-P)w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = \|(f - P_{p,n;f,w})w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*Then there exists an absolute constant $C_r > 0$ which depends only on $r$ such that for $0 \le k \le r$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\left(f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{p,n;f,w}\right) w^\sharp_{-k/2}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} &\le C_r E_{p,n-k}\left(w_{1/4}, f^{(k)}\right) \\ &\le C_r\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-k} E_{p,n-r}\left(w_{1/4}, f^{(r)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we can replace $w_{1/4}$ and $w^\sharp_{-k/2}$ with $w$ and $w^\sharp$, respectively in the above.*

If we apply Theorem 4.1 with $w_{-1/4}$, then we have the following.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+3}+)$, $0 < \lambda < (r+3)/(r+2)$, and let $\beta > 0$ be fixed. Suppose that $wf^{(r)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. Then for $0 \leq k \leq r$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \left( f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{p,n;f,w_{-1/4}} \right) w^\sharp_{-(2k+1)/4} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_r E_{p,n-k}\left(w, f^{(k)}\right) \\ &\leq C_r \left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-k} E_{p,n-r}\left(w, f^{(r)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we can omit $T^{-(2k+1)/4}$ in the above.*

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+3}+)$, $0 < \lambda < (r+3)/(r+2)$, and let $\beta > 0$ be fixed.*
(1) *Let $w_{(2r+1)/4} f^{(r)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for each $k$ $(0 \leq k \leq r)$ and the best approximation polynomial $P_{p,n;f,w_{k/2}}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \left( f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{p,n;f,w_{k/2}} \right) w^\sharp \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_r E_{p,n-k}\left(w_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(k)}\right) \\ &\leq C_r \left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-k} E_{p,n-r}\left(w_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(r)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

(2) *Let $w^\flat_{(2r+1)/4} f^{(r)} \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for each $k$ $(0 \leq k \leq r)$ and the best approximation polynomial $P_{p,n;f,w^\flat_{k/2}}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \left( f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{p,n;f,w^\flat_{k/2}} \right) w \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C_r E_{p,n-k}\left(w^\flat_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(k)}\right) \\ &\leq C_r \left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-k} E_{p,n-r}\left(w^\flat_{(2k+1)/4}, f^{(r)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we can replace $w_{(2k+1)/4}$ and $w^\flat_{(2k+1)/4}$ with $w$ and $w^\flat$, respectively in the above.*

Especially when $p = \infty$, we can refer to $w^\sharp$ or $w^\flat$ as $w$. In this case, we can note that Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 imply Corollary 2.4, and Corollary 2.5, respectively.

To prove Theorem 4.1 we need to prepare some notations and lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4** ([6, Lemma 3.8])**.** *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous, $g(0) = 0$, and $wg' \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\|Q'wg\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|wg'\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

**Lemma 4.5** (cf.[9, Theorem 4.1])**.** *Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $wf' \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$E_{p,n}(w, f) \leq C\omega_p\left(f, w, \frac{a_n}{n}\right) \leq C\frac{a_n}{n} \|wf'\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from Proposition 3.3. We show the second inequality. By [9, Lemma 7] we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| w(x) \left\{ f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) - f\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right) \right\} \right\|^p_{L_p(|x| \leq \sigma(2t))} \\ = \; & h^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w(x)\Phi_t(x) f'(x_t)|^p dx \leq Ch^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w(x) f'(x)|^p dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we see

(4.1)

$$\sup_{0<h\le t}\left\|w(x)\left\{f\left(x+\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)-f\left(x-\frac{h}{2}\Phi_t(x)\right)\right\}\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le\sigma(2t))}\le Ct\left\|wf'\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Now, we estimate

$$\left\|w(x)(f-c)(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))}.$$

Let $g(x):=f(x)-f(0)$.

$$\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\left\|w(x)\left(f-c\right)(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))}\le\frac{1}{Q'(\sigma(4t))}\left\|Q'wg\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Then we have from (3.5),

$$\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\left\|w(x)(f-c)(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))}\le Ct\|Q'wg\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.2}$$

Here, from Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\|Q'w(f-f(0))\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}\le C\|wf'\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we have

$$\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}}\left\|w(x)(f-c)(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\ge\sigma(4t))}\le Ct\|wf'\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.1) and (4.4) we have the result. □

**Lemma 4.6** (cf.[4, Lemma 4.4])**.** *Let $1\le p\le\infty$ and $\beta>1$, and let us define $w^\sharp$ with $p$, $\beta$. Let $g$ be a real valued function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\|gw\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}<\infty$ and (3.9), then we have*

$$\left\|w^\sharp(x)\int_0^x g(t)dt\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}\le C\frac{a_n}{n}\|gw\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4.5}$$

*Especially, if $w\in\mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^3+), 0<\lambda<3/2$, then we have*

$$\left\|w^\sharp(x)\int_0^x\left(f'(t)-v_n(f')(t)\right)dt\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}\le C\frac{a_n}{n}E_{p,n}\left(w_{1/4},f'\right). \tag{4.6}$$

*When $w\in\mathcal{F}^*$, we also have (4.6) replacing $w_{1/4}$ with $w$.*

*Proof.* For arbitrary $P_n\in\mathcal{P}_n$, we have by (3.13) and Hölder inequality

$$\left|\int_0^x g(t)dt\right|\le\left\|g(t)w(t)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}E_{q,n}\left(w,\phi_x\right),\quad 1\le p\le\infty, 1/p+1/q=1,$$

where $\phi$ is defined in (3.12). Then, we obtain by Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^x g(t)dt\right| &\le \left\|g(t)w(t)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}\frac{a_n}{n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|w(t)\phi_x'(t)|^q dt\right)^{1/q}\\ &\le \frac{a_n}{n}\left\|g(t)w(t)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}|Q'(x)|^{1-1/q}\left(\int_0^x Q'(t)w^{-q}(t)dt\right)^{1/q}\\ &\le C\frac{a_n}{n}\left\|g(t)w(t)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}|Q'(x)|^{1-1/q}w^{-1}(x).\end{aligned}$$

Here, for $p=1$ we may consider

$$\lim_{q\to\infty}\left(\int_0^x Q'(t)w^{-q}(t)dt\right)^{1/q}=\lim_{q\to\infty}\left(w^{-q}(t)\right)^{1/q}=w^{-1}(x).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \frac{w(x)}{\{(1+|Q'(x)|)(1+|x|)^{\beta}\}^{1/p}} \int_0^x g(t)dt \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right) \left\|(1+|x|)^{-\beta/p}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \|gw\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right) \|gw\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have (4.5). From (4.5), (3.9) and Proposition 3.1, we have (4.6). □

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^3+)$, $0 < \lambda < 3/2$. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\|w_{1/4}f'\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$, and let $q_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be the best approximation of $f'$ with respect to the weight $w$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ space, that is,*

$$\|(f' - q_{n-1})w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = E_{p,n-1}(w, f').$$

*Using $q_{n-1}$, define $F(x)$ and $S_{2n}$ as (3.17) and (3.14). Then we have*

$$\left\|w^\sharp(F - S_{2n})\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\frac{a_n}{n} E_{p,n}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right),$$

*and*

$$\|wS'_{2n}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C E_{p,n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right).$$

*When $w \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we also have same results replacing $w_{1/4}$ with $w$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.6 (4.6), we have the result using the same method as the proof of Lemma 3.7. □

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* We will prove it similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.3. First, let $q_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ be the polynomial of best approximation of $f'$ with respect to the weight $w$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ space. Then using $q_{n-1}$, we define $F(x)$ and $S_{2n}$ in the same method as (3.17) and (3.14). Then we have using Lemma 4.7

$$\|F'w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = E_{p,n-1}\left(w, f'\right),$$

$$\|(F - S_{2n})w^\sharp\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\frac{a_n}{n} E_{p,n}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right)$$

and

$$\|S'_{2n}w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C E_{p,n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right). \tag{4.7}$$

Then we see from Theorem 3.9 and (4.7),

$$\left\|S^{(k)}_{2n} w_{-(k-1)/2}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k-1} E_{p,n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right) \tag{4.8}$$

and using $w^\sharp \leq w$ and Theorem 3.8

$$\left\|\left(R^{(k)}_n - S^{(k)}_{2n}\right) w^\sharp_{-k/2}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k-1} E_{p,n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right), \tag{4.9}$$

where $R_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ denotes the polynomial of best approximation of $F$ with $w$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ space(by the similar calculation as (3.20) and (3.21)). Then, we see $w^\sharp_{-k/2}(x) \leq w_{-(k-1)/2}(x)$. By (4.8) and (4.9) and Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\left\|R^{(k)}_n w^\sharp_{-k/2}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{k-1} E_{p,n-1}\left(w_{1/4}, f'\right) \leq C E_{p,n-k}\left(w_{1/4}, f^{(k)}\right). \tag{4.10}$$

By the same reason to (3.24), we know that $P_{p,n;f,w} := Q_n + R_n$ is the polynomial of best approximation of $f$ with $w$ on $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ space. Therefore, using $P_{p,n;f,w}$, (4.10) and the method of mathematical induction, we have for $1 \le k \le r+1$,

$$\left\| \left( f^{(k)} - P^{(k)}_{p,n;f,w} \right) w^{\sharp}_{-k/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le C E_{p,n-k} \left( w_{1/4}, f^{(k)} \right).$$

□

*Proof of Corollary 4.2.* It follows from Theorem 4.1. □

*Proof of Corollary 4.3.* If we apply Theorem 4.1 with $w_{k/2}$ and $w^{\flat}_{k/2}$, then we can obtain the results. □

## 5. Monotone Approximation

Let $r > 0$ be an integer. Let $k$ and $\ell$ be integers with $0 \le k \le \ell \le r$. In this section, we consider a real function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $f^{(r)}(x)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}$ and we let $a_j(x)$, $j = k, k+1, ..., \ell$ be bounded on $\mathbb{R}$.

Now, we define the linear differential operator (cf. [1])

$$L := L_{k,\ell} := \sum_{j=k}^{\ell} a_j(x)[d^j/dx^j]. \tag{5.1}$$

G. A. Anastassiou and O. Shisha [1] consider the operator (5.1) with $a_j(x)$ under some condition on $[-1,1]$. They showed that if $L(f) \ge 0$ for $f \in C^{(r)}[-1,1]$, there exist $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $L(Q_n) \ge 0$ and for some constant $C > 0$,

$$\|f - Q_n\|_{L_\infty([-1,1])} \le C n^{\ell - r} \omega \left( f^{(p)}; \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

where $\omega\left(f^{(p)}; t\right)$ is the modulus of continuity. In this section, we will obtain a similar result with exponential-type weighted $L_\infty$-norm as the above result. Our main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let $k$ and $\ell$ be integers with $0 \le k \le \ell \le r$. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda(C^{r+3}+)$, and let $T(x)$ be continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $w(x)f^{(r)}(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Let $P_{n;f,w_{-1/4}} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ be the best approximation for $f$ with the weight $w_{-1/4}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for a certain $\delta > 0$,*

$$L(f;x) \ge \delta, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Then, for every integer $n \ge 1$ and $j = 0, 1, ..., \ell$,*

$$\left\| \left( f^{(j)} - P^{(j)}_{n;f,w_{-1/4}} \right) w T^{-(2j+1)/4}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \le C_j \left( \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{r-j} E_{n-r} \left( w, f^{(r)} \right), \tag{5.2}$$

*where $C_j > 0$, $0 \le j \le \ell$, are independent of $n$ or $f$, and for any fixed number $M > 0$ there exists a constant $N(M, \ell, \delta) > 0$ such that*

$$L(P_{n;f,w_{-1/4}}; x) \ge \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad |x| \le M, \quad n \ge N(M, \ell, \delta). \tag{5.3}$$

*Proof.* From Corollary 2.4, we have (5.2). Hence, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(L(f;x)-L\left(P_{n;f,w_{-1/4}};x\right)\right)w(x)T^{-(2\ell+1)/4}(x)\right| \\
= &\left|\sum_{j=k}^{\ell}a_j(x)\left\{f^{(j)}(x)-P^{(j)}_{n;f,w_{-1/4}}(x)\right\}w(x)T^{-(2\ell+1)/4}(x)\right| \\
\le &E_{n-r}\left(w,f^{(r)}\right)\sum_{j=k}^{\ell}|a_j(x)|C_j\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-j} \\
\le &C_{k,\ell}\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-\ell}E_{n-r}\left(w,f^{(r)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we set $C_{k,\ell}:=\sum_{j=k}^{\ell}\|a_j\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}C_j$. Then we have for $|x|\le M$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|L(f;x)-L\left(P_{n;f,w_{-1/4}};x\right)\right| \\
\le &C_{k,\ell}\left\|w^{-1}(x)T^{(2\ell+1)/4}(x)\right\|_{L_\infty(|x|\le M)}\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-\ell}E_{n-r}\left(w,f^{(r)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, for $\delta>0$ there exists $N(M,\ell,\delta)>0$ such that for $n\ge N(M,\ell,\delta)$

$$C_{k,\ell}\left\|w^{-1}(x)T^{(2\ell+1)/4}(x)\right\|_{L_\infty(|x|\le M)}\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{r-\ell}E_{n-r}\left(w,f^{(r)}\right)\le\frac{\delta}{2}.$$

This follows from $w(x)f^{(r)}(x)\to 0$ as $|x|\to\infty$. Therefore we see

$$\frac{\delta}{2}\le L(f;x)-\frac{\delta}{2}\le L(P_{n;f,w_{-1/4}};x).$$

Consequently, we have (5.3). □

## References


[1] G. A. Anastassiou, O. Shisha, Monotone approximation with linear differential operators, J. Approx. Theory 44(1985) 391-393.

[2] S. B. Damelin, Converse and Smoothness Theorems for Erdös Weights in $L_p(0<p\le\infty)$, Journal of Approximation Theory 93(1998), 349-398 .

[3] S. B. Damelin and D. S. Lubinsky, Jackson Theorem for Erdös Weights in $L_p(0<p\le\infty)$, Journal of Approximation Theory 94(1998), 333-382 .

[4] G. Freud, On Markov-Bernstein-type inequalities and their applications, Journal of Approximation Theory 19 (1977), 22-37.

[5] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, Specific examples of exponential weights, Communications of Korean Mathmatical Society, vol.24, no.2, 2009, 303-319.

[6] H. S. Jung, R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, On the Favard-type theorem and the Jackson-type theorem (II), ISRN Applied Mathematics, Volume 2011, Article ID 725638,20 pages, doi: 10.5402/2011/725638.

[7] D. Leviatan, The behavior of the Derivatives of the algebraic polynomials of best approximation, J. Approx. Theory 35(1982) 169-176.

[8] A. L. Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, Orthogonal polynomials for exponential weights, Springer, New York, 2001.

[9] R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, Favard-type inequalities for exponential weights, Pioneer J. of Math. vol 3. No.1, 2011, 1-16.

[10] R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, Mollification of exponential weights and its application to the Markov-Bernstein inequality, Pioneer J. of Math., Vol.7, no.1, pp.83-101, 2013.

[11] K. Itoh, R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, The de la Vallée Poussin mean and polynomial approximation for exponential weight., arXiv:1311.3337v1 [math. CA] 13 Nov 2013.

[1]Department of Mathematics Education, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul 110-745, Republic of Korea.
*E-mail address*: hsun90@skku.edu

[2]Department of Mathematics, Meijo University, Nagoya 468-8502, Japan.
*E-mail address*: ryozi@crest.ocn.ne.jp